IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

R. Dutta

Examiner:

Philip C. Lee

Serial No.:

09/726,268

Group Art Unit:

2154

Filed:

November 30, 2000

Docket No.:

AUS920000344US1

TITLE:

METHOD, SYSTEM, AND PROGRAM FOR PROVIDING ACCESS TIME

INFORMATION WHEN DISPLAYING NETWORK ADDRESSES

DECLARATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.131

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

RABINDRANATH DUTTA declares as follows:

- 1. I am an Applicant for the patent application entitled "METHOD, SYSTEM, AND PROGRAM FOR PROVIDING ACCESS TIME INFORMATION WHEN DISPLAYING NETWORK ADDRESSES", having Serial No. 09/726,268, filed on November 30, 2000, and an inventor of the subject matter described and claimed therein.
- 2. Prior to October, 2000, I conceived of, in the United States of America, the invention described and claimed in the subject application. I further showed diligence from the date just prior to October, 2000 to the filing of the subject patent application as evidenced by the following:
- a) I submitted IBM Invention Disclosure Form No. AUS8-1999-1468, attached as Exhibit A hereto, which describes the invention described and claimed in the subject application.
 - b) Each of the dates deleted from Exhibit A is prior to October, 2000.
- c) I worked diligently with a patent attorney to file the above patent application from at least just prior to October, 2000 to the filing date of the application on November 30, 2000.
- 3. I further declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge and all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements are

Serial No. 09/726,268 Docket No. AUS920000344US1 Firm No. 0072.0033

made with the knowledge that willful and false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment or both under § 1001 of Title 18 of United States Code and that such willful and false statements may jeopardize the validity of the above-referenced application and any patent issuing therefrom.

Rabindranath Dutta

Date: Jan. 24, 200 6

Page 2 of 2





Disclosure AUS8-1999-1468

*** IBM Confidential ***

Required fields are marked with the asterisk (*) and must be filled in to complete the form .

Summary

Status	Under Evaluation
Processing Location	AUS
Functional Area	71 - NCS - JAVA & BROWSER (S. Heuer)
Attorney/Patent Professional	Marilyn Dawkins/Austin/IBM
IDT Team	Lilia Havs/Austin/Contr/IBM
Submitted Date	:05:41 PM
Owning Division	ncs
PVT Score	To calculate a PVT score, use the 'Calculate PVT' button.
Lab	
Technology Code	
Incentive Program	

Inventors with Lotus Notes IDs

Inventors: Rabindranath Dutta/Austin/IBM

Inventor Name	Inventor		Manager	
> denotes primary contact	Serial	Div/Dept	Serial	Manager Name
> Dutta, Rabindranath	978208	95/PM4A	565591	Demsky, S.H. (\$cott)

Inventors without Lotus Notes IDs

IDT Selection

IDT Team:	Attorney/Patent Professional:
Lilia Hays/Austin/Contr/IBM	Marilyn Dawkins/Austin/IBM

Response Due to IP&L:

Main Idea

*Title of disclosure (in English)

Client side tool to evaluate access times for various sites.

*Idea of disclosure

1. Describe your invention, stating the problem solved (if appropriate), and indicating the advantages of using the invention.

Background

Download time over the Internet should be one of the top factors for deciding whether to connect to a site. However, this is one information which is typically not known to the average Web user. Major Web servers of course pay various consulting agencies to determine download time from various locations spread worldwide for their Web sites. Unfortunately, as of now there is probably no tool that is specific and tailored to a client that estimates which servers offer best Web download time.

Prior art in this area from the client perspective is mostly qualitative. Many people know that Yahoo sites load fast on their screen and this is one of the major reasons for the popularity of Yahoo (apart from its categorization). The same is the case with the bookseller Amazon.com. However, for many sites the users have no idea how long the download takes to their computer. The stop button is one of the most frequently used button on the Web browser to terminate download. Ad hoc rules abound. Many users find that foreign sites are time consuming and don't go to foreign sites unless there is a compelling reason.

Problem

How can a user determine an estimate of the download time from various Web servers spread worldwide? Note that this is unique to each user. The download time in this context includes the time from the request from the client to complete rendering on the screen. Such statistics can never be compiled by a download site rating agency because of the multiplicity of Web sites and various types of client computers.

Solution

Each time an client downloads a page the time to download (including rendering) is kept. Generally sites accessed repeatedly are bookmarked by an user. The bookmarks store with them the average download time (presumably as a rating scale of poor, average, and excellent) depending on the following:

- 1. Aggregated average of past download times (including those of child pages since the home page often downloads fast because of caching.).
- 2. Off-line downloads when the computer is not working to evaluate access time by performing simulated GETs. of the parent and 1 level deep pages.

Since client computers are idle most of the time this is easily done without impact to the user.

Storing access time in bookmarks is probably not new (simply too much work has gone on in bookmarking and associated properties; I don't know the answer). What we are claiming is NOT bookmark properties on access time but a TOOL THAT ENABLES ACCESS TIMES TO BE ESTIMATED FOR WEB SITES THAT A CLIENT HAS VISITED IN THE PAST AND WISHES TO VISIT IN THE FUTURE AND THEIR PERIODIC UPDATING.

Claims

System to evaluate and update access times of various sites from the perspective of a Web client.

- 2. How does the invention solve the problem or achieve an advantage, (a description of "the invention", including figures inline as appropriate)?
- 3. If the same advantage or problem has been identified by others (inside/outside IBM), how have those others solved it and does your solution differ and why is it better?
- 4. If the invention is implemented in a product or prototype, include technical details, purpose, disclosure details to others and the date of that implementation.

*Critical Questions (Questions 1 - 7 must be answered)

On what date was the invention workable? ase format the date as MM/DD/YYYY	
(Workable means i.e. when you know that your design will solve the problem)	
	Yes
*Question 2 Is there any planned or actual publication or disclosure of your invention to anyone outside	● No
IBM?	
If yes, Enter the name of each publication or patent and the date published below.	
Publication/Patent:	1
Date Published or Issued:	
Are you aware of any publications, products or patents that relate to this invention?	Yes No
If yes, Enter the name of each publication or patent and the date published below.	
Publication/Patent:	
Date Published or Issued:	
	 -1
*Question 3	Yes
Has the subject matter of the invention or a product incorporating the invention been sold,	● No
used Internally in manufacturing, announced for sale, or included in a proposal?	
is a sale, use in manufacturing, product announcement, or proposal planned?	Yes
If Yes, identify the product if known and indicate the date or planned date of sale, announceme	● No
Date: To Whom: If more than one, use cut and paste and append as necessary in the field provided. *Question 4 Was the subject matter of your invention or a product incorporating your invention used in	! Yes
public, e.g., outside IBM or in the presence of non-IBMers?	
If yes, give a date. Please format the date as MM/DD/YYYY	
*Question 5	Yes
Have you ever discussed your invention with others not employed at IBM?	● No
If yes, identify individuals and date discussed. Fill in the text area with the following information names of the individuals, the employer, date discussed, under CDA, and CDA #.	, the
*Question 6	· · Yes
Was the invention, in any way, started or developed under a government contract or project?	No Not sure
If Yes, enter the contract number	
*Question 7	· 'Yes
Was the invention made in the course of any alliance, joint development or other contract activities?	No Not Sure
If Yes, enter the following :Name of Alliance, Contractor or Joint Developer	

ΑM

es for various sites. - continued

If Yes, please provide the title and docket or disclosure number below:

Contract ID number	
Relationship contact name	
Relationship contact E-mail	
Relationship contact phone	
Question 8	Yes
lave you submitted, or are you aware of, any related disclosure submission?	● No

Question 9
What type of companies do you expect to compete with inventions of this type? Check all that apply.

| Manufacturers of enterprise servers
| Manufacturers of entry servers
| Manufacturers of workstations
| Manufacturers of PC's
| Non-computer manufacturers
| Developers of operating systems
| Developers of networking software
| Developers of application software
| Integrated solution providers
| Service providers
| Other (Please specify below)

Patent Value Tool (Optional - this may be used by the inventor and attorney to assist with the evalu

(The Patent Value tool can be used by you or the evaluation team to determine the potential licensing value of your invention.)

The Patent Value Tool has not yet been used to calculate a score.

Browser manufacturers: Microsoft, Netscape, Opera, IBM, Mozilla.org

Post Disclosure Text & Drawings

Enter any additional information relating to this disclosure below:

(Form Revised 12/17/97)